PATENT COOPERATION TE ATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2004/038369 16.11.2004 17.11.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B01D46/24, B01D45/04, B01D50/00 Applicant DONALDSON COMPANY, INC. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

2. FURTHER ACTION

☐ Box No. IV

Box No. V

☐ Box No. VI

☐ Box No. VII

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Lack of unity of invention

Certain documents cited

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

Certain defects in the international application

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>a</u>))

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

de Biasio, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8627



Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

40/579455

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/038369

IAPZORCE' OPCT/PTO 15 MAY 2006

_				
	Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion	
1.	With the la	Vith regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ne language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	ı	langua	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search r Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With nece	fith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ecessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. typ	pe of material:		
		as	sequence listing	
		l tab	ele(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material:			
		in v	written format	
		l in c	computer readable form	
	c. tim	ime of filing/furnishing:		
		l cor	ntained in the international application as filed.	
		l file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	ŀ	has be copies	lition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as oriate, were furnished.	
4	Δddit	Additional comments:		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

, , , ,

No: Claims

1-17

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/038369

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents/:

D1: EP-A-0 923 975 (ROBERT BOSCH GMBH; BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT) 23 June 1999 (1999-06-23)

D2: US-B1-6 572 667 (GREIF VOLKER ET AL) 3 June 2003 (2003-06-03)

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A gas/liquid separator element (cf col. 1, II. 44-52) comprising (cf figures 1-4):

- (a) first and second, opposite end caps (17,18);
- (b) a media pack (12) extending between the first and second end caps and defining an open central interior (15);
 - (i) the media pack including a drain stage (Z1, Z2) and a coalescing stage;
 - (ii) the media pack having a cross-sectional periphery with an oval or elliptical shape, thus having a long cross-sectional axis and a short cross-sectional axis, and
- (c) an outwardly directed spigot (17) having an oval or elliptical, i.e non-circular cross-section shape (cf also col. 4, II. 11-15).

The only feature of claim 1 not being explicitly disclosed in D1 is the aspect ratio of at least 1,3 of the cross-sectional axis of the media pack. The cross-section of the media pack of D1 is a rather flat ellipse, consequently no inventive step can be seen in the subject-matter of claim 1. Moreover, D1 teaches (cf par. [0009]) to use gas/liquid separation elements having an oval or elliptical cross-section in order to obtain an assembly that is narrower.

2. Claim 10 defines a gas/liquid separator assembly including a separation element as defined in claim 1 (cf item c) of claim 10).

D2 discloses a gas/liquid separator assembly comprising

- (a) a vessel (10) including an outer wall, a gas flow inlet (18) projecting through the outer wall, a gas flow outlet (28) projecting through the outer wall and a lower sump (22);
- (b) a tube sheet arrangement separating the vessel into an upper region and a lower region (cf lower part of wall 10); the tube sheet arrangement being positioned so that the gas flow inlet is in direct communication with the lower region and the gas flow outlet is positioned to receive gas flow directly from the upper region;
- (c) at least one removable and replaceable separator element (12).

The assembly of D2 uses separation elements with oval cross-section just like disclosed in D1. It would be obvious to the skilled person to exchange the separation element of D2 with the type disclosed in D1, thus arriving at the subject-matter of claim 10 (Art. 33(3) PCT).

- 3. From the above comments under items 1 and 2 one can deduce that the methods of claims 16 and 17 do not involve an inventive step either (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 4. Dependent claims 2-9 and 11-15 do not seem to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.